Serial No.: 10/616,072

## **REMARKS**

This response addresses the issues raised by the Examiner in the Office Action mailed August 10, 2004. Initially, Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given in this case. Claims 1, 9 and 10 have been currently amended. Thus, Claims 1-10 are pending in this case all to more clearly and distinctly claim Applicants' invention. Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments as they place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for possible appeal.

## **Claim Objections**

The Examiner objects to Claims 1-10 because the Examiner suggests that Applicant further make note of the Figure numbers in Applicant's references, such as in Claims 6-10, which refers to Figures 6-8 and not Figures 1-3.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's objection. Claims 1-10 refers to Figures 1-3 and 6-8. Claims 1-5 refer to the car-side mirror cover with light lamp and Claims 6-10 refer to the side mirror body. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

The Examiner then objects to Claim 1 because in line 13 of Claim 1 the word "comprise" should be replaced with the word "comprises". In regards to Claim 1, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to address these concerns of the Examiner. Reconsideration of this objection is respectfully requested.

## Rejection Based On Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 1-18 of co-pending U.S. Application Serial No. 10/187,661 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,499,169 to Chen.

Since this is a provisional obvious-type double patenting rejection, Applicants

Serial No.: 10/616,072

respectfully submit that Applicants will not address the issue of a Terminal Disclaimer until subject matter is allowed by the Examiner.

In view of the remarks presented herein, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for final allowance and notice to such effect is requested. If the Examiner believes that additional issues need to be resolved before this application can be passed to issue, the undersigned invites the Examiner to contact him at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 3, 2004

:

By

Reg No. 24 408

REED SMITH LLP

599 Lexington Avenue

29<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10022-7650

(212) 521-5400

Attorney for Applicant